

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/863,419	NORCOTT, WILLIAM D
	Examiner USMAAN SAEED	Art Unit 2166
All Participants:	Status of Application: _____	
(1) <u>Usmaan Saeed (PTO)</u>	(3) _____	
(2) <u>Chadwick Jackson, registration No. 46,495</u>	(4) _____	
Date of Interview: <u>11 September 2009</u>	Time: _____	
Type of Interview:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)	
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
If Yes, provide a brief description: _____		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
None		
Claims discussed:		
1,6,7 and 8		
Prior art documents discussed:		
None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:		
<i>A telephone call was made to applicant's representative about the potential amendment in order to allow the case. The representative agreed with the examiner's proposal and gave authorization for examiner's amendment.</i>		
Part III.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
<i>/Usmaan Saeed/ Examiner, Art Unit 2166</i>		
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		